

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,175	02/24/2004	Mark J. Soulliere	AVA-P040	3794
47389 7590 01/29/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP			EXAMINER	
3040 POST OAK BLVD			LEUNG, WAI LUN	
SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2613	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		AC				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,175	SOULLIERE, MARK J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Danny Wai Lun Leung	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 February 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u>	! is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be seen as the call the	•	*				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	48) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/2004</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,175

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Uemura et** al. (US006434288B1), in view of **Kinoshita et al.** (US007,116905B2).

Regarding claim 14, **Uemura** discloses an automatic protection switching (APS) controller method for OMS shared protection in a ROADM (18, fig 4), comprising:

receiving a triggering input signal for protection switching (col 10, ln 60-67; "detecting the faulty state of the signal");

generating a switching command output to a 2xl switch (places receiving optical switch 9, fig 4 in the cross state); and

sending a command to deactivate or reactivate one or more optical receivers (preparatory terminal units 14 and 20, fig 4) locally configured to receive extra traffic (col 10, ln 25-32, units 14 and 20 are normally configured to receive extra traffic thru preparatory path), wherein under a failure condition, one or more extra traffic receivers are deactivated (col 11, ln 1-15).

Uemura does not disclose expressly wherein the switching command is generated within an optical supervisory channel, and an OMS signal is looped back within a ROADM to present a drop signal via a broadcast and select module.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,175

Art Unit: 2613

Kinoshita, from the same field of endeavor, teaches an automatic protection switching (APS) controller method (*fig 16*) for OMS shared protection in a ROADM (201, *fig 15*), wherein the switching command is generated within an optical supervisory channel (OSC, col 21, ln 13-15; col 14, ln 62-67), and an OMS signal is looped back (col 15, ln 18-27) within a ROADM (201, fig 9) to present a drop signal via a broadcast and select module (EMS 290, fig 9; col 22, ln 19-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to generate Uemura's switching command output to a 2x1 switch within an optical supervisory channel, as suggested by Kinoshita, and wherein under a failure condition, one or more Uemura's extra traffic receivers are deactivated when an OMS signal is looped back within a ROADM to present a drop signal via a broadcast and select module as suggested by Kinoshita. The motivation for doing so would have been to effectively control the switches using optical supervisory channel and loopback OMS signal as suggested by Kinoshita, such that a more reliable protection switching can be realized in the event of a fiber cut.

As to claim 15, **Uemura** further teaches wherein in the sending step, comprises the extra traffic being carried on one or more Optical Channels that use spare protection wavelengths under a normal condition (col 10, ln 25-49).

As to claim 16, **Uemura** further teaches wherein in the sending step, comprises the extra traffic being pre-empted under the failure condition (col 10, ln 50-67; working path being detour, and the preparatory signal is discarded).

As to claim 17, **Kinoshita** further teaches the method further comprising transmitting a message to other nodes via the optical supervisory channel (col 21, ln 11-25, where NMS 292 communicates between nodes via OSC as shown in fig 15).

Art Unit: 2613

As to claim 18, **Kinoshita** further teaches wherein the receiving step comprises a locally detected signal fault, a manually initiated command, or a bridge request from another node (col 21, ln 11-43).

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-13 are allowed over prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Wai Lun Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-5504. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-9:00pm Mon-Thur.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DWL January 23, 2007 JÁSON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600